

## Petworth Town Council - Skatepark Project

Skatepark Site Options Appraisal - Dated: 22nd June 2016

This Options Appraisal has been based upon the 'Public Skatepark Development Guide" methodology, we used this as a reference for selection the criteria to determine the suitability or otherwise of potential sites for the Petworth Town Skatepark site. Please read the accompanying PTC overview document for details on how we adapted this methodology to meet our requirements.

### Our chosen criteria for this Options Appraisal are:

**Accessibility** - How Accessible is the site for I.) Pedestrians - Skaters, Parents, Young Children II.) Vehicular Access - Parents bringing children and young adults to the facility by car.

**Visibility** - How Visible is the site by I.) Pedestrians - Regular foot traffic or local residents or general passers by. II.) Passing Vehicles.

**Activity** - How much activity takes place around the site.

**Feasibility** - How practical would development be by.

**Projection** - What are the future plans for the site.

**Ownership** - Who owns and or operates it.

**Overall Score & Conclusion** - This column totals the scoring and recaps major obstacles, for example owners refusal to release land for our purpose.

### Options Appraisal Scoring System: 1 = Worst -----> 4 = Best

SITE	ACCESSIBILITY PEDESTRIAN	ACCESSIBILITY VEHICULAR	VISIBILITY PEDESTRIAN/RESIDENTS	VISIBILITY VEHICULAR	ACTIVITY	FEASIBILITY	PROJECTION	OWNERSHIP	OVERALL SCORE & CONCLUSION
HERBERT SHINER SCHOOL	3. Pedestrian access good for via footpaths along service roads during school term and when other activities are taking place in building on the school property, for example music academy events. Only one access route via school main gate that is kept locked during school holidays.	2. Vehicular access is challenging and limited to hours school gates are open, all access is via narrow road which run through a busy residential area. There are serious pinch points due to heavy on street parking. Access is especially difficult during school drop off and pick up hours. Difficult access skatepark users coming via car from surrounding villages.	1. Poor, there is no CCTV and limited vehicular surveillance during hours of school site operation. Limited street/area lighting during hours of darkness. Very limited visibility from local residences	1. Poor, no CCTV. Limited vehicular traffic during school and academy hours, zero vehicular access when school gates are closed.	1. Poor, with adjacent activity only during school times or during special academy or commercial events (theatrical groups etc.) There are extended periods without activity during school holidays.	1. The site owners are developing plans for expanded use of this site for educational purposes in near term, due to new homes to be built in the Petworth area in the over the coming 10 - 15 years.	1. Owners not willing to release the site. This site would be challenging to manage and is not ideally suited as a location for a skatepark.	West Sussex County Council -	Overall score = 10. Overall Verdict: Site not viable. Summary: Land owner unwilling to release land, site does not meet many of the recommended criteria for operation of a public Skatepark

<p><b>SOUTH GROVE</b></p>	<p>3. Pedestrian access good via footpaths along service roads.</p>	<p>2. Vehicular access is via roadway servicing adjacent residential properties. Although access runs through a busy residential area access is adequate except during school children drop off and collection times when the roadway is connected and access becomes difficult.</p>	<p>2. No CCTV. Other than at school drop off and pick up times when there are a good number of pedestrians in the area this site is only partially visible from a limited number of adjacent properties.</p>	<p>2. No CCTV. Other than at school drop off and pick up times when there are frequent car drivers close by this site is not ideal because it is only partially visible from a few adjacent residential properties.</p>	<p>2. Site adjacent to residential close with nearby public footpath. Reasonable foot traffic and some limited vehicular traffic going to and from Petworth town centre.</p>	<p>1. Site too small and cannot meet basic criteria for a Skatepark. Proximity and negative impact to the residential properties adjacent is a major obstacle.</p>	<p>1. Owners not willing to release land at this site. The site offers valuable recreational green space serving a built up residential area and was provided for, and is enjoyed by, local residents. The impact on losing this valuable public amenity would be great and would need to be compensated for elsewhere (SDNP Directive).</p>	<p>Hyde Martlett Homes</p>	<p>Overall score = 13. Overall Verdict: Site not viable. Summary: Site too small, owners unwilling to release the land. This site will not meet several primary requirements for a public Skatepark operation. Loss of this green space in such a dense residential area will cause a big loss of public amenity.</p>
<p><b>ROSEMARY GARDENS</b></p>	<p>3. Pedestrian access good although mainly via narrow and unsighted gateways. Main pathways in gardens on a gradient, this may encourage board riding on the pathways already heavily trafficked by young and elderly pedestrians.</p>	<p>3. No vehicular access to site itself but nearby parking is available in Pound Street car park for parents bringing children and young adults from nearby villages using the skatepark.</p>	<p>3. Some limited CCTV coverage from cameras in Pound Street car park. Decent lighting during hours of darkness. Visible by pedestrians using footpaths in the gardens and also via pathway running alongside Pound Street car park. This site overlooked by several nearby residential properties.</p>	<p>3. Site in heavy use by during daytime and early evening, greatly reduced natural surveillance from vehicle drivers when shops close and the car park usage declines. Very little public use after dusk.</p>	<p>2. Gardens well and increasingly used, the children's playpark in gardens is also well used and supervised by parents between 9:00 am and 5:00 pm. Nearby car park in heavy use during daytime and early evening, less activity in car park when town centre shops close and after dusk when vehicle movements decline.</p>	<p>2. Site area insufficient to accommodate proposed Skatepark, currently the only green space in Town Centre. Site already contains manicured gardens with seating and playpark. Valued garden well used by resident and visitors. The provision of a skatepark here would cause huge loss of public amenity and be highly controversial with residents.</p>	<p>2. Site too small to accommodate footprint of planned skatepark. A skatepark would cause a big loss of town centre public amenity. Existing children's playpark has a noise impact on nearby properties that diminishes after dusk. The operation of a skatepark on this site would extend noise impact until much later each day (10pm is proposed).</p>	<p>Petworth Town Council</p>	<p>Overall score = 18. Overall Verdict: Site not viable. Summary: Site too small, and loss of space to a skatepark would have a huge negative impact on public amenity. The gardens are used as an outdoor entertainment venue. Any loss of green space or play facilities here would need to be compensated for elsewhere in the town centre per SDNP Directive.</p>

<p><b>HAMPERS COMMON</b></p>	<p>2. Access good from nearby Hampers Common housing estate, however the site is disconnected from the larger body of housing in the town centre and to the South. Pedestrians route from Town Centre narrow and hazardous. Pavement connecting town centre and Common is only 3-feet wide in places, vehicles run tight to the curb at these points, mirrors on trucks, vans and cars encroach over the pavement. Pavement is on continuous slope, this may prove tempting for board riders putting them and pedestrians in danger of collision. No Pelican at Billingshurst of Kirdford Roads which must be crossed to access this site.</p>	<p>4. Site can be accessed easily by road, it is bordered by two busy roads.</p>	<p>3. Good natural pedestrian surveillance during daylight hours. No CCTV and only minimal lighting after dark.</p>	<p>3. Good natural vehicular surveillance during daylight hours. No CCTV and natural surveillance dramatically diminishes after dark due to poor lighting.</p>	<p>3. Site has reasonable daytime use by parents and children using the PTC play park, site is in view of workers from trading estate during working hours. Activity in the area reduces to passing traffic only after dusk.</p>	<p>2. Site is sufficiently large but not in ideal location for majority of the local residents who are likely to use the skatepark facility. Footpath route to site from majority of residents is dangerous in places.</p>	<p>1. PTC have been informed by the Leconfield Estate they are not willing to release any land on this site or lift the protective covenant on the site for the purpose of a skatepark. The reasons stated are due to the dangerously narrow access pathway from Town Centre to the site and because there are no Pelican Crossings on the Billingshurst Road (A272) and Kirdford Road, both of these busy roads must be crossed to access this site.</p>	<p>The Leconfield Estate</p>	<p>Overall Score = 18: Overall Verdict: Site not viable - Owner will not grant permission for skatepark at this site. Site is a distance away from the larger body of housing in centre and to the south of town. Due to narrow pathway there are safety concerns for pedestrians accessing this site from centre and south of town to this site. (see more detailed notes related to this site in overview document).</p>
<p><b>SYLVIA BEAUFOY CAR PARK</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good for the majority of residents in Petworth, the network of footpaths and a Pelican Crossing located directly opposite on Station Road make accessing this site by foot safe and easy. Hampers Common residents will need to cross the A272 and Kirdford Road and walk up the narrow pedestrian pavement to access the skatepark, however this is the route Hampers Common residents must use to access the Town Centre or any of the towns facilities and services.</p>	<p>4. Good vehicular access from all directions via A272 (Tillington Road). The site offers free parking and a good drop-off area for parents bringing children and young adults to the skatepark from surrounding villages and towns.</p>	<p>4. Good natural surveillance by residents using footpaths between town centre and housing estate during daylight and into the darker evening hours. Site in use by specialist Youth Centre. CCTV and good lighting in place in this site make it visible only minimal lighting after dark.</p>	<p>3. Active CCTV in operation, well illuminated site, car park area of site in regular use by residents who take advantage of free parking and by Youth Centre related traffic in evenings. Less visible to passing vehicular traffic due to houses along side of Midhurst Road, the entrance to the site is wide and vehicles can get a glimpse into the site from A272.</p>	<p>4. Car park users, residents transiting to and from Town Centre, youth centre users.</p>	<p>4. A sizable area of this car park site is currently allocated as disabled car parking spaces, this disabled car parking area is seldom used due to it being a considerable distance to the town centre being too far for drivers with a disability to travel. The owners, CDC would not lose any parking spaces if land equivalent to the disabled parking bays were allocated to the skatepark. CDC would suffer no loss of revenue as this is a free car park.</p>	<p>4. Of all the options available for consideration PTC feel this site meets all the necessary requirements for the provision of a skatepark facility. While not 100% perfect the site is clearly feasible and due to it being a free car park the loss of unused space will have a negligible financial impact on the owners CDC.</p>	<p>Chichester District Council</p>	<p>Overall Score = 27: Overall Verdict: Viable - This our preferred site, one that PTC considers viable and deliverable, the site has been confirmed as suitable for a skatepark by an independent Health &amp; Safety Report commissioned by PTC. Preferred option of Leconfield Estate and local petitioners and survey respondents.</p>

<p><b>POUND STREET CAR PARK</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good for the majority of residents in Petworth, the network of footpaths and Pelican Crossing located on Station Road make accessing this site by foot safe and easy. Hampers Common residents are presented with a more difficult route to access this site, they would have to cross both the A272 and Kirdford Road then use the narrow pedestrian pavement to access this site, however this is the same route they must use to access any of the Town Centre facilities.</p>	<p>4. Good for vehicular access from A272 (Saddlers Row).</p>	<p>4. Good natural surveillance by residents and car park users traversing footpaths between town centre and housing estates during daylight and into the darker evening hours. Reduction in natural surveillance after business hours, reducing after dusk. CCTV in places and reasonable lighting make this site a generally acceptable location for a skatepark.</p>	<p>4. CCTV coverage in most areas. Plenty of natural surveillance from drivers of vehicles arriving or leaving the car park, visibility is at its peak during business hours and diminishes in the early evening. Some intermittent surveillance continues later into the evening as residents come and go from the car park.</p>	<p>4. Good level of activity in car park from car drivers, regular rotation in parking bays driven by parking charges. Regular vehicular deliveries servicing town Centre businesses. WSCC operates a Bi-Weekly Waste Service in lower section of car park. Local employees and residents transit the car park's footpath to and from town centre.</p>	<p>2. Feasibility poor, car park is at, or near, to capacity during business hours 6-days a week, regular weekend events including Famers Market/Music &amp; Literary Festival/Petworth House events also put high demand on parking spaces. Essential waste disposal service provided by WSCC requires a large area of car park for operation. Lost parking spaces to a skatepark will have detrimental effect on residents and local businesses.</p>	<p>2. The economic impact of a skatepark at this location is a major concern to PTC and local business association, PBA. The site is a revenue generating car park operated by CDC, it is at, or near, capacity 6-days a week and also often at weekend. The provision of a skatepark would cause a loss of valuable parking space in Petworth's only central car park, such a loss of parking will reduce the number of visitor/shopper visits per day causing a detrimental effect on town businesses. A skatepark will negatively impact CDC (the owner/operator) due to lost parking bays.</p>	<p>Chichester District Council</p>	<p>Overall score = 24. Overall Verdict: Viable - This site meets the basic criteria for the provision of a skatepark, however the loss amenity in the form of lost parking spaces for residents, employees and shoppers would be profound. There is already a severe shortage of town centre parking and the knock-on effect of lost spaces for a skatepark at this site would be difficult to justify.</p>
<p><b>BT TELEPHONE EXCHANGE</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good for the majority of residents in Petworth, the network of footpaths and a Pelican Crossing located on Station Road make accessing this site by foot safe and easy. Hampers Common residents face more difficult route to this site will need to cross the A272 and Kirdford Road and walk up the narrow pedestrian pavement to access the skatepark, however this is the they use to access the Town Centre facilities.</p>	<p>4. Vehicular access through Pound Street Car Park is good.</p>	<p>3. Reasonable natural surveillance by pedestrians. This site is bordered by high wall on North and East side, South side obscured by Telephone Exchange building. Visible from footpath and from one section of the car park through security fencing. The site it not well lit and has no CCTV coverage.</p>	<p>3. Some limited CCTV coverage from cameras located in Pound Street car park. Limited natural surveillance by drivers of vehicles, view is obscured by parked cars in adjacent bays. South side obscured by Telephone Exchange building. Poorly lit after dusk.</p>	<p>2. Plenty of activity in the area during Petworth town centre business hours, BT employees come and go infrequently during business hours. Much less activity after shops close and when dusk falls. Adjacent to car park and public accessing Town Centre from car park and residential areas to south of town.</p>	<p>2. Feasibility adequate as site for skatepark although visibility not ideal. Access problematic due to BT security requirements. If Telereal-Trillium was willing to share or lease a portion of this site for a skatepark it is highly likely there will be demands for costly site security and segregation of on-site space usage in order to protect BT's technology infrastructure and building.</p>	<p>1. Despite several approaches, the owners refuse to release any portion of this site for the provision of a skatepark.</p>	<p>Telereal-Trillium</p>	<p>Overall score = 19. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - No CCTV and poor lighting after dark, otherwise this site meets the basic requirements for a skatepark, however the land owner is unwilling to release any portion of this site for a skatepark or any other sports or leisure facility.</p>

<p><b>GARAGES 1 - 29 WYNDAM ROAD</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good via local footpath network.</p>	<p>2. Vehicular access poor, skatepark users arriving by car would need to navigate a crowded housing estate, narrow roads and lots of on street parking make access difficult at peak road user times.</p>	<p>2. Poor natural surveillance, some limited visibility from adjacent properties but little foot traffic after dusk. No CCTV.</p>	<p>2. Minimal natural surveillance due to low vehicular movements to or from this site.</p>	<p>2 - Little activity currently, however if the existing lock up garage units were demolished the site would become more visible from adjacent residential properties. No CCTV, reasonable lighting radiating from nearby street lamps.</p>	<p>1. Feasibility poor due to location and lack of natural surveillance. Site is elevated above adjacent fire station with 12 metre retaining wall, this represents a possible Health &amp; Safety hazard.</p>	<p>1. Owner will not release this site for a skatepark, they have other plans for this site, they are preparing plans to reconfigure site partly for open parking and for additional housing.</p>	<p>Hyde Martlett Homes</p>	<p>Overall score = 14. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - No CCTV and poor lighting after dark. This site does not meet several of the basic criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.</p>
<p><b>GARAGES 1 - 20 WOODPECKER ROAD</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good via local footpath network.</p>	<p>2. Difficult and limited vehicular access due to narrow roads and crowded on-street parking obstructions.</p>	<p>2. Poor visibility due to infrequent pedestrian traffic. No CCTV.</p>	<p>1. Bad, no passing vehicular traffic.</p>	<p>1. Site forms a Cul-De-Sac with minimal pedestrian activity, no vehicular activity. No CCTV, site is poorly lit after dark.</p>	<p>1. Feasibility poor, site does not meet criteria for a skatepark on many levels. Site in regular use for parking and storage and provides essential amenity to local residents.</p>	<p>1. Owner of the site is not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.</p>	<p>Hyde Martlett Homes</p>	<p>Overall score = 12. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Bad visibility, minimal activity. No CCTV and poor lighting after dark. This site does not meet several of the basic criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.</p>
<p><b>GARAGES 21 - 42 WOODPECKER ROAD</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good via local footpath network.</p>	<p>2. Difficult and limited vehicular access due to narrow roads and crowded on-street parking obstructions.</p>	<p>2. Poor visibility due to infrequent pedestrian traffic. No CCTV.</p>	<p>1. Bad, no passing vehicular traffic.</p>	<p>1. Site forms a Cul-De-Sac with minimal pedestrian activity, no vehicular activity. No CCTV, site is poorly lit after dark.</p>	<p>1. Feasibility poor, site abuts Station road, dwelling directly adjacent. This site meets few of the criteria for a skatepark. Site in regular use for parking and storage and provides essential amenity to local residents.</p>	<p>1. Owner of the site is not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.</p>	<p>Hyde Martlett Homes</p>	<p>Overall score = 12. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Bad visibility, minimal activity. No CCTV and poor lighting after dark. This site does not meet several of the basic criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.</p>
<p><b>POUND CLOSE GARAGES 1 - 20</b></p>	<p>4. Pedestrian access is good via local footpath network.</p>	<p>3. Good, access limited vehicular movements. Limited parking at site although SB car park is nearby.</p>	<p>2. Minimal visibility, some pedestrian activity to and from Sylvia Beaufoy car park.</p>	<p>2. Poor, No CCTV, no passing vehicular traffic, infrequent residential car movements.</p>	<p>1. Site has minimal pedestrian activity, no passing vehicular activity. No CCTV, site is poorly lit after dark.</p>	<p>1. Feasibility poor, site very small, forms a cull de sac/dead-end, flanked by dwellings. This site does not meet criteria for a skatepark. Site used for parking and provides essential amenity to local residents. Very little potential.</p>	<p>1. Owners not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.</p>	<p>Hyde Martlett Homes</p>	<p>Overall score = 14. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, minimal activity. No CCTV and poor lighting after dark. This site does not meet several of the basic criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.</p>

<b>POUND CLOSE GARAGES 21 - 40</b>	4. Pedestrian access good via footpath.	3. Good vehicular access but limited space for parking at site.	2. Some visibility as pedestrians access Sylvia Beaufoy car park, after dark there is very limited pedestrian traffic. No CCTV.	2. Poor, limited vehicle movements in cul de sac/dead end. Infrequent residential car movements.	1. Site has minimal pedestrian activity, no passing vehicular activity. No CCTV, site is poorly lit after dark.	1. Feasibility poor, site does not meet criteria for a skatepark on many levels. Site in use for parking and provides essential amenity to local residents.	1. Owner of the site is not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Hyde Martlett Homes	Overall score = 14. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, minimal activity. No CCTV and poor lighting after dark. This site does not meet several of the basic criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.
<b>NORTHWAY GARAGES 1-20</b>	4. Pedestrian good via footpath and access road.	4. Vehicular access good via access road to cul de sac, very limited space for parents of skaters needing to park a car.	1. Low natural surveillance due to limited pedestrian traffic. No CCTV.	1. Visibility poor, this site forms a cul de sac with low vehicular movements, poorly lit site, no CCTV	1. Poor level of pedestrian activity, infrequent vehicular activity. No CCTV, site and bad lighting levels after dark.	2. Feasibility not good, site fails criteria for a skatepark, the site is home to garages that are in use for residents parking.	2. Several houses close this site, placement of a skatepark would have high impact on residents. Owner of the site is not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Hyde Martlett Homes	Overall score = 15. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Low visibility, Little activity with no CCTV and inadequate lighting after dark. This site does not meet several of the basic criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.
<b>WILLOW WALK GARAGES 1-11</b>	4. Pedestrian good via footpath and access road.	4. Vehicular access difficult do to crowded parking along access road to cul de sac, site small and would offer only limited space for parents of skaters wishing to park a car.	1. No natural surveillance, almost zero pedestrian traffic. No CCTV.	1. Very limited vehicular visibility, another cul de sac, this one is flanked closely by dwellings.	1. Little pedestrian or vehicular activity. Poorly lit after dark, no CCTV.	2. Feasibility not good, site fails criteria for a skatepark, the site is home to garages that are in use for residents parking.	2. Several houses close this site, placement of a skatepark will have high big negative impact on residents. High Voltage Electricity Sub Station on site, costly and complex to move and if left could present health & safety problems. Owner of the site is not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Hyde Martlett Homes	Overall score = 15. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV and inadequate lighting. Health & Safety hurdles. Site does not meet lowest criteria for a skatepark. The land owner is not willing to release the land at this site.
<b>MEADOW WAY GARAGES 20-27 (PRIVATELY OWNED)</b>	4. Pedestrian good via footpath and access road.	2. Vehicular access is more difficult because of heavy parking along side of access road. Site is basically a cul de sac. Site crowded and too small of the purpose, meaning only limited space for parents of skaters wishing to park a car.	1. Minimal natural surveillance, little pedestrian activity. No CCTV.	1. Very limited vehicular visibility.	1. Site flanked by dwellings, low pedestrian and vehicular activity. Poorly lit after dark, no CCTV.	2. Feasibility poor, site fails criteria for a skatepark, footpath to western end of site would be compromised by a skatepark. The nearby residents own and use the garages.	2. Site surrounded by residential properties, a skatepark would have high negative impact on residents. Owners of the site are not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Built by Hyde Martlett Homes but garages in private ownership of home owners.	Overall score = 13. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV, inadequate lighting. Private land owners unwilling to release the land at this site.

<b>MARTLETT ROAD GARAGES</b>	4. Pedestrian access good via footpath and access road.	3. Vehicular access difficult due to heavy parking along access road which leads to a constrained end.	1. Low natural surveillance, minimal pedestrian activity. No CCTV.	1. Very limited vehicular access and visibility. Site forms a dead end adjacent to allotments.	1. Little pedestrian or vehicular activity. Poorly lit after dark, no CCTV.	1. Feasibility poor, site fails all aspects for skatepark suitability. Located on the periphery of a housing estate quite isolated. Located close to allotments which provide cover for unsocial behaviour.	2. Site not large enough for proposed skatepark, garages in use by residents. Owners of the site are not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Hyde Martlett Homes.	Overall score = 13. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV, inadequate lighting. Site owner unwilling to release the land at this site.
<b>PARK RISE GAAGES 1-15</b>	4. Pedestrian access good via footpath and access road.	2. Vehicular access limited due to heavy residents parking along road leading to the site which forms a dead end.	1. No natural surveillance, no pedestrian activity. No CCTV.	1. No vehicular visibility, site forms a dead end with very few resident car movements.	1. No pedestrian or vehicular activity. Poorly lit after dark, no CCTV.	1. Feasibility poor, site is not well suited as a skatepark. Limited parking space for parents wishing to bring children to the site from surrounding villages. Being a dead end road this site is isolated and this presents consequent potential problems.	2. Site small. Owners of the site are not willing to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Hyde Martlett Homes.	Overall score = 12. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV, inadequate lighting. Site owner unwilling to release the land at this site.
<b>PARK RISE GARAGES 17-26</b>	4. Pedestrian access good via footpath and access road.	2. Vehicular access limited due to resident parking on access road, site which forms a cul de sac.	1. No natural surveillance, no pedestrian activity. No CCTV.	1. No vehicular visibility, site is off a cul de sac and abuts dwellings that are unsighted. Minimal resident car movements.	1. Little pedestrian or vehicular activity. Poorly lit after dark, no CCTV.	1. Feasibility poor, site ill suited for a skatepark. Limited parking for parents bringing children to site from surrounding villages. Site is isolated and this presents consequent potential problems.	2. Site small. Owners of the site are unwilling to release the site for use as a skatepark.	Hyde Martlett Homes.	Overall score = 12. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV, inadequate lighting. Site owner unwilling to release the land at this site.
<b>DE PASSE FAMILY LAND ADJACENT TO HERBERT SHINER SCHOOL - PRIVATE</b>	2. Pedestrian access is initially good to this site via footpath but thereafter the ground is grass and mud and access is difficult and slippery when wet..	1. Vehicular access none, there is no vehicular access to this site beyond the Herbert Shiner School property.	1. Poor, minimal passing pedestrian asides from an occasional dog walker. No CCTV.	1. No access roadway to site, hence zero natural vehicular visibility.	1. Very limited pedestrian activity, zero vehicular activity. No light after dark, no CCTV.	1. Infeasible, a wholly unsuitable site requiring massive investment in infrastructure including roadway, footpath and provision of electrical power. Site is isolated, inaccessible and impractical.	2. Privately owned, the owners of the site are unwilling to release the site for use as a skatepark.	De Passé Family, Petworth	Overall score = 9. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV, inadequate lighting. Site owner unwilling to release the land at this site.

<p><b>DE PASSE FAMILY LAND ADJACENT TO GROVE STREET ALLOTMENTS - PRIVATE</b></p>	<p>2. Pedestrian access initially good to site via footpath and Grove Street, but thereafter the site must be accessed via a narrow grass median that runs through Grove Street Allotments. Access would be difficult and slippery when wet.</p>	<p>1. Vehicular access none, there is no vehicular access to this site beyond Grove Street, yellow lines and limited parking spaces on Grove Street make parking nearby this site difficult.</p>	<p>1. No passing pedestrians, some limited visibility from allotment holders during daylight hours. No CCTV.</p>	<p>1. No access roadway to site, hence zero natural vehicular visibility.</p>	<p>1. Very limited pedestrian/allotment worker activity, zero vehicular activity. No light after dark, no CCTV.</p>	<p>1. Infeasible site, isolated, inaccessible and impractical.</p>	<p>2. Privately owned, the owners of the site are unwilling to release the site for use as a skatepark.</p>	<p>De Passé Family, Petworth</p>	<p>Overall score = 9. Overall Verdict: Not Viable - Poor visibility, Low activity, no CCTV, inadequate lighting. Owners unwilling to release the land at this site.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---	--	---	----------------------------------	---

Skaters for Public Skateparks is a non-profit skatepark advocacy organization, international in reach, accessible skateparks are available to all skateboarders, dedicated to providing the information necessary to ensure safe, rewarding, freely available access to skatepark facilities.

Full information available at [www.skatepark.org](http://www.skatepark.org)

Skaters for Public Skateparks  
 820 N. River Street, Loft 206  
 Portland, OR 97227  
 USA